

The following is a real-time transcript taken as closed captioning during the oral argument proceedings, and as such, may contain errors. This service is provided solely for the purpose of assisting those with disabilities and should be used for no other purpose. These are not legal documents, and may not be used as legal authority. This transcript is not an official document of the Florida Supreme Court.

03-165

THE IT IS MANDATORY, SHALL.

THERE IS NO PENALTY TO RESPOND. IF HE IS IN PRISON, HE IS STILL IN PRISON.

PLEASE RISE. THE THRESHOLD PERMANENT INJURY WAS ESTABLISHED.

NOW THE DISTRICT COURT IN ISSUE. ISN'T THAT RIGHT?

NO, SIR, YOUR HONOR --.

IF THEY HAVE CORRECTLY STATED THE LAW FORTH THE NON-ECONOMIC DAMAGES THAT CAN BE AWARDED. I THINK IT HAS AFTER ITS OWN INSURANCE COMPANY OR IT'S IF IT IS NOT A PERMANENT INJURY. I MEAN YOUR HONOR, THE LEGISLATURE CAN DO THAT. INSURED BE ABLE TO RECOVER AGAINST THE INSURER? PARTICIPANTS IN AUTOMOBILE ACCIDENT WAS NOT ENTITLED UNDER NO FAULT RULES TO RECOVER DAMAGES FOR DISABILITY EITHER DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY WITH LORING, WHICH JUSTICE CANTERO MENTIONED. ACCORDINGLY WE NOW HOLD THAT NON-ECONOMIC DAMAGES INCLUDING THOSE FOR DISABILITY, PHYSICAL IMPAIRMENT AND LOSS OF ABILITY TO GET NON-ECONOMIC DAMAGES.

WELL, THE SECOND FOR ENJOYMENT OF LIFE? WHEN I ASKED MS. STEDMAN SHE SAID THEY DIDN'T.

ACCORDING TO SMILEY VERSUS INCONVENIENCE. SICKNESS, BECAUSE OF BODILY THE PLAINTIFF DOES SUFFER PERMANENT INJURY, I THINK IT IS THE INTENT OF THE LEGISLATURE THAT A PLAINTIFF NON-ECONOMIC DAMAGES AND BY NOT SAYING INCLUDING, DOESN'T THE STATUTE THAT THE, WHATEVER WE CALL NON-ECONOMIC DAMAGES OTHER WORDS YOU'RE SAYING LAWYER WAS TRYING TO ARGUE BECAUSE THEY MENTIONED MENTAL ANGUISH AND THEN THE SCARRING AND ALL THOSE OTHER THINGS. BUT HAS NEVER, HAS IT, ELEMENTS AVAILABLE AT COMMON LAW IS BEYOND DISPUTE. THE STATUTE MUST BE STRICTLY BACK TO YOUR POSITION THAT THESE DAMAGES ARE NOT RECOVERABLE EVEN IF THERE IS A PERMANENT ONE? LEWIS'S QUESTION. DON'T YOU END UP THEN ON THE HORNS OF A DILEMMA, IF YOU'RE GOING TO RELY ON THAT SPECIFIC LANGUAGE, RATHER SAYING THAT THE EXEMPTION USES THE SAME TERMS, SO IF YOU'RE GOING TO RELY ON THE DEATH, I MEAN SO, SECTION TWO IS NOT REALLY LIMITED TO