

>> ALL RISE.

HEAR YE, HEAR YE, THE SUPREME COURT OF FLORIDA IS IN SESSION, LET ALL WHO HAVE CAUSE PLEA DRAW NEAR, GIVE ATTENTION YOU WILL BE HEARD.

GOD SAVE THESE UNITED STATES, GREAT STATE OF FLORIDA AND THIS HONORABLE COURT.

LADIES AND GENTLEMEN THE SUPREME COURT OF FLORIDA, PLEASE BE SEATED.

>> GOOD MORNING AND WELCOME TO THE SUPREME COURT, OUR FIRST CASE IS WILSON VERSUS STATE OF FLORIDA NUMBER 2024 -1345.

>>Mr. Ufferman: MICHAEL UFFERMAN ON BEHALF OF WADE WILSON, SEATED BEFORE ME AS COCOUNSEL TO MR. WILSON.

IF THIS ARGUMENT HAD TAKEN PLACE DECEMBER 17 OF 2025 MY PRESENTATION WOULD BE VERY DIFFERENT.
BUT I CERTAINLY AM AWARE OF THE TWO OPINIONS THIS COURT ISSUED ON DECEMBER 18.

HUNT BEING THE LEAD ONE AND JACKSON PERHAPS TO A LESSER EXTENT. I WILL ACKNOWLEDGE ALL THE CLAIMS I AM RAISING ON MY BRIEF HAVE BEEN CONSIDERED AND REJECTED IN THE HUNT OPINION.
I'M VERY MINDFUL OF THIS COURT'S TIME.

I DON'T INTEND TO WASTE THIS COURT'S TIME RE-ARGUING THINGS THAT HAVE ALREADY BEEN CONSIDERED.

I CONTINUE TO BELIEVE IN THESE ARGUMENTS I'VE RAISED AND OF COURSE I BELIEVE REPEATEDLY MY CLIENT HAS EX POST FACTO CHALLENGE AND THIS COURT HAS REJECTED THAT CHALLENGE AND THE NEXT STEP FOR MY CLIENT AND PROBABLY MR. HUNT WILL BE THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT TO CONSIDER THAT SO OTHERWISE AGAIN,

I LOVE A GOOD INTELLECTUAL ARGUMENT BUT IT DOESN'T MAKE A LOT OF SENSE TO BEAT

MY HEAD AGAINST A BRICK WALL KNOWING 30 DAYS AGO YOU ISSUED AN OPINION ADDRESSING ALL THE ISSUES I'VE RAISED IN MY BRIEF

SO OF COURSE I AM OPEN TO ANY QUESTIONS BUT AT THE SAME TIME

THE OTHER POINT I WAS GOING TO MAKE, PERHAPS IF I COULD DISTINGUISH HUNT OR

SAY THAT HUNT WAS SOMEHOW NOT ARGUED THE WAY I WOULD HAVE ARGUED IT BUT I'M FAMILIAR WITH HUNT, I'VE WATCHED HER ARGUMENT AND I

THINK SHE PRESENTED ALL THE ISSUES I WOULD HAVE PRESENTED THE WAY
I WOULD PRESENT THEM TODAY OR ON DECEMBER 17

AND BEYOND THAT SHE WAS MY LEGAL ARGUMENT LAWYER IN LAW SCHOOL AND
ONE OF THE THINGS
SHE INSTRUCTED ME IS WHEN THERE'S PRECEDENT AGAINST YOU

YOU PRESERVE YOUR ISSUE FOR FURTHER REVIEW BUT YOU DON'T WASTE THE
COURTS TIME UNLESS THE COURT IS SOMEHOW INTERESTED IN FURTHER
DISCUSSING THE MATTER SO WITH THAT IF THERE ARE QUESTIONS I AM
HAPPY TO ADDRESS THEM OTHERWISE I FEEL THAT HUNT RESOLVE THE
ISSUES IN THIS CASE, I'VE PRESERVED THESE ISSUES AND INTEND TO
RAISE THEM AT THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT.

>> THANK YOU, WE WILL ADD THAT TO THE PROFESSIONALISM VIDEO.

>>Mr. Buchwalter: MAY IT PLEASE THE COURT I AM RICK ASSISTANT
ATTORNEY GENERAL REPRESENTING THE APPELLEE IN THIS CASE, THE
STATE OF FLORIDA.

MY INTENDING IS RESPONDING TO THOSE ARGUMENTS RAISED BY MY FRIEND
HERE ON MY LEFT TAKING HE HAS ACKNOWLEDGED THIS COURT'S DECISIONS
IN BOTH HUNT AND JACKSON

KIND OF SET THAT ASIDE FOR TODAY.

I REALLY DON'T HAVE ANY

ARGUMENT TO MAKE AND WOULD CONSIDER A BRIEF UNLESS OF COURSE THE
COURT HAS ANY QUESTIONS.
I SUMMARIZE AND ASK THE COURT FROM THE DECISION IN THE LOWER
COURT, THANK YOU.

>>Justice Muniz: THANK YOU.

>> TO THOSE IN THE AUDIENCE IT USUALLY DOESN'T GO THIS SPEEDY. [laughter]

BUT VERY GOOD.

>>Justice Muniz: THANKS, APPRECIATE IT.